



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

celn

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,771	03/18/2004	Ryo Yamazaki	16004.1010	6685
35856	7590	06/30/2005	EXAMINER	
SMITH FROHWEIN TEMPEL GREENLEE BLAHA, LLC			NGUYEN, KHANH V	
P.O. BOX 88148			ART UNIT	
ATLANTA, GA 30356			PAPER NUMBER	

2817  
DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/803,771	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Khanh V. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2817	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,12-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-11, 16 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Specification*

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Application No. of the related application is needed.

Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, it is not clear which “**the signal**” is intended since there are “output signal” and “digital signal” seen in the claim.

Claim 2, it is not clear how “Fast Fourier Transform” is conducted.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 12-15, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fu (6,834,182).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, Fu (Figs. 2, 7) discloses an amplifier circuit comprising: a detector (20B); bias control (20D) as shown in Fig. 2; a DSP comprises an A/D converter (18A); a Fast Fourier Transform (18B) can be configured to conduct a transformation of the digital signal to obtain the frequency characteristics of the signal, comparing the power of the signal at a particular frequency to a threshold value and adjusting the output of the power amplifier based on the comparison of the power of the signal and the threshold value.

Regarding claim 14, wherein baseband digital (20C) of Figure 2 can be read as a baseband processor.

Claims 1, 13, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Norimatsu (5,656,972).

Regarding claims 1, 13, 18, Norimatsu (Fig. 2) discloses a method/device for controlling output power of a power amplifier comprising: a detector (13); an A/D converter (14); and a CPU (16) can be configured to conduct a transformation of the digital signal to obtain the frequency characteristics of the signal, comparing the power of the signal at a particular frequency to a threshold value and adjusting the output of the power amplifier based on the comparison of the power of the signal and the threshold value.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norimatsu in view of Fu (6,834,182).

Norimatsu discloses the claimed invention except a Fast Fourier Transform claimed.

Fu (Figs. 2, 7) discloses a logical block diagram comprising: a power amplifier (PA); a detector (20B) for detecting output signal; A/D converter (18A) for converting detected signal to digital signal; and Fast Fourier Transform (18B) connected to A/D converter to extract desired power spectrum.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious in view of the reference, taken as a whole, to have modified the circuit of Norimatsu to have included Fast Fourier Transform between the A/D converter and and the CPU of, as taught by Fu. Such a modification would have imparted the advantageous benefit of extracting desire power spectrum for the circuit as taught by Fu, column 5, line 55 to column 6, line 12, to Norimatsu reference, thereby suggesting the obviousness of such a modification.

Claim 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Fu (6,834,182).

Fu discloses the claimed invention except the value of coupler and integrated into a circuit board. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented the specific values of the components, since they are based on the routine experimentation to obtain the optimum

operating parameters. Regarding to circuit housing, because it is simpler and does not require a cumbersome circuit.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 3-11, 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 3-11, 16 call for, among others, see the all subject matters recited in the claims.

### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references (Segallis et al. (6,417,730); Iwata et al. (6,597,898); Suzuki (6,788,138)) show further analogous prior art circuitry feedback control from the output.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1767. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 AM - 3:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571) 272-1769. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 2817

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Khanh Van Nguyen', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

**KHANH VAN NGUYEN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
**Art Unit: 2817**